

CEN

CWA 15292

WORKSHOP

May 2005

AGREEMENT

ICS 35.040

English version

**Standard form contract to assist compliance with obligations
imposed by article 17 of the Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC
(and implementation guide)**

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

© 2005 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

Ref. No.:CWA 15292:2005 E

Contents

Contents.....	2
Foreword.....	3
Introduction	4
1 Scope.....	5
2 Model Contract.....	6
BACKGROUND	6
1. DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION	7
2. CONSIDERATION.....	7
3. SECURITY OBLIGATIONS OF THE PROCESSOR.....	8
4. CONFIDENTIALITY	8
5. SUB-CONTRACTING	8
6. TERM AND TERMINATION.....	9
7. GOVERNING LAW.....	9
APPENDIX 1	10
Annex A (normative): Article 17 Security Contract - Implementation Guide.....	11
AA.1 Scope.....	11
AA.2 Background.....	12
AA.3 Applicable Laws.....	12
AA.4 Clause by clause explanatory notes/analysis of the Article 17 Security Contract.....	13
AA.5 Extra Clauses	17
AA.6 Sources.....	17

Foreword

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which was supported by CEN following the public call for participation made on 12 May 2003.

A list of the individuals and organizations which supported the technical consensus represented by this CEN Workshop Agreement is available to purchasers from the CEN Management Centre. These organizations were drawn from the following economic sectors (Oil, Law, IT vendors, Automotive, Telecommunications, Consultants, Health and Data Auditors).

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this CEN Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflict with standards or legislation. This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its members.

The date of acceptance for this Workshop Agreement was 2004-12-31.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the National Members of CEN: AENOR, AFNOR, BSI, COSMT, DIN, DS, ELOT, IBN/BIN, IPQ, IST, NEN, NSAI, NSF, ON, SEE, SIS, SFS, SNV, UNI

Comments or suggestions from the users of this CEN Workshop Agreement are welcome and should be addressed to the CEN Management Centre.

Introduction

Contracts have to work within national law so European precedents or standard forms have to allow for national variations over matters such as legal formalities but they are still capable of achieving a high level of commonality. Contracts have long been considered as useful tools in achieving data protection compliance.

The achievement of a form of contract accepted by all sides of an industry, containing generic phraseology that can be adapted according to the individual circumstances, is a most valuable form of business standard for trade. A good example is the agreement on INCOTERMS standardization of business trading terms, which has practically eliminated contractual disputes over misunderstandings in traded goods. In the study produced by the Initiative for Privacy Standardization in Europe (IPSE) Project Team, it was recommended to take a broad view of the term “standardization”. In other words, it would be helpful to have a wide consensus agreement on the generic phraseology that can be used in contracts; this would be a very valuable and indeed essential area to be addressed. A contract allows some or all of the obligations of a data controller to be transferred in an accountable way to the recipients of personal data, whether they are processors, agents, affiliates, business partners, or other organizations. Depending on applicable law, many different types of provisions may be relevant here. There is clearly no need to re-invent the wheel every time a contract is drafted, and indeed there are standard contracts for a variety of purposes. In view of this it was decided to undertake work within the CEN/ISSS Workshop on Data Protection and Privacy (WS/DPP), to define generic contract clauses and an implementation guide. The work was partly sponsored by the European Commission under its eEurope Support action programme.

1 Scope

The present document defines a standard form contracts for contractual relations in common areas of professional and other services, reflecting the requirements of Article 17 of Directive 95/46 for use within the EEA. The contract form shall be defined according to the requirements of data controllers who employ or use third party processors to use such contracts. It shall contain generic descriptions that can be adapted according to specific business requirements and thus represent a valuable form of business standard for trade.

The contract is for use by data controllers and data processors located within the European Economic Area where the parties have entered into a separate data processing agreement. It may be used as a complete agreement to accompany a separate data processing services agreement or the operative clauses can be extracted and incorporated into the processing services agreement.

Annex A gives guidance on its implementation.

2 Model Contract

STANDARD FORM CONTRACT TO ASSIST COMPLIANCE WITH OBLIGATIONS IMPOSED BY ARTICLE 17 OF THE DATA PROTECTION DIRECTIVE 95/46/EC

(FOR USE BY DATA CONTROLLERS AND DATA PROCESSORS LOCATED WITHIN THE EUROPEAN
ECONOMIC AREA WHERE THE PARTIES HAVE ENTERED INTO A SEPARATE DATA PROCESSING
AGREEMENT)

THIS AGREEMENT is made on [] 200[]

BETWEEN:

- (1) [NAME] (incorporated in, or existing and established under the laws of, [COUNTRY WITHIN THE EEA] whose registered office is at [REGISTERED OFFICE ADDRESS] (the "Controller"); and
- (2) [NAME] (incorporated in, or existing and established under the laws of, [COUNTRY WITHIN THE EEA] whose registered office is at [REGISTERED OFFICE ADDRESS] (the "Processor").

BACKGROUND

- (A) The Controller processes Personal Data in connection with its business activities;
- (B) The Processor processes Personal Data on behalf of other businesses and organisations;
- (C) The Controller wishes to engage the services of the Processor to process personal data on its behalf;
- (D) Article 17(2) of the Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC (as hereinafter defined) provides that, where processing of personal data is carried out by a processor on behalf of a data controller the controller must choose a processor providing sufficient guarantees in respect of the technical security measures and organisational measures governing the processing to be carried out, and must ensure compliance with those measures;
- (E) Articles 17(3) and 17(4) of the Data Protection Directive require that where processing is carried out by a processor on behalf of a controller such processing shall be governed by a contract or legal act binding the processor to the controller stipulating, in particular, that the processor shall act only on instructions from the controller and shall comply with the technical and organisational measures required under the appropriate national law to protect personal data against accidental or unlawful destruction or accidental loss, alternation, unauthorised disclosure or access and against all other unlawful forms of processing;

- (F) In compliance with the above-mentioned provisions of Article 17 of the Data Protection Directive the Controller and Processor wish to enter into this processing security Agreement.

THE PARTIES HEREBY MUTUALLY AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION

- 1.1 In this Agreement the following words and phrases shall have the following meanings, unless inconsistent with the context or as otherwise specified:

“**Data Protection Directive**” shall mean Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and Council of 24th October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data;

“**national law**” shall mean the law of the Member State in which the Processor is established;

“**personal data**” shall mean any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person ('data subject'); an identifiable person is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identification number or to one or more factors specific to his physical, physiological, mental, economic cultural or social identity;

“**processing of personal data**” shall mean any operation or set of operations which is performed upon personal data, whether or not by automatic means, such as collection, recording, organization, storage, adaptation or alternation, retrieval, consultation, use, disclosure by transmission, dissemination or otherwise making available, alignment or combination, blocking, erasure or destruction;

“**sub-contract**” and “**sub-contracting**” shall mean the process by which either party arranges for a third party to carry out its obligations under this Agreement and “**Sub Contractor**” shall mean the party to whom the obligations are subcontracted; and

“**Technical and organisational security measures**” shall mean measures to protect personal data against accidental or unlawful destruction or accidental loss, alternation, unauthorised disclosure or access and against all other unlawful forms of processing.

2. CONSIDERATION

- 2.1 In consideration of the Controller engaging the services of the processor to process personal data on its behalf the Processor shall comply with the security, confidentiality and other obligations imposed on it under this Agreement.

3. SECURITY OBLIGATIONS OF THE PROCESSOR

- 3.1 The Processor shall only carry out those actions in respect of the personal data processed on behalf of the Controller as are expressly authorised by the Controller.
- 3.2 The Processor shall take such Technical and Organisational Security Measures as are required under its own national law to protect personal data processed by the Processor on behalf of the Controller against unlawful forms of processing. Such Technical and Organisational measures shall include, as a minimum standard of protection, compliance with the legal and practical security requirements set out in Appendix 1 of this Agreement.

4. CONFIDENTIALITY

- 4.1 The Processor agrees that it shall maintain the personal data processed by the Processor on behalf of the Controller in confidence. In particular, the Processor agrees that, save with the prior written consent of the Controller, it shall not disclose any personal data supplied to the Processor by, for, or on behalf of, the Controller to any third party.
- 4.2 The Processor shall not make any use of any personal data supplied to it by the Controller otherwise than in connection with the provision of services to the Controller.
- 4.3 The obligations in clauses 4.1 and 4.2 above shall continue for a period of five years after the cessation of the provision of services by the Processor to the Controller.
- 4.4 Nothing in this agreement shall prevent either party from complying with any legal obligation imposed by a regulator or court. Both parties shall however, where possible, discuss together the appropriate response to any request from a regulator or court for disclosure of information.

5. SUB-CONTRACTING

- 5.1 The Processor shall not sub-contract any of its rights or obligations under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the Controller.
- 5.2 Where the Processor, with the consent of the Controller, sub-contracts its obligations under this agreement it shall do so only by way of a written agreement with the Sub-Contractor which imposes the same obligations in relation to the security of the processing on the Sub-Contractor as are imposed on the Processor under this Agreement.
- 5.3 For the avoidance of doubt, where the Sub-Contractor fails to fulfil its obligations under any sub-processing agreement, the Processor shall remain fully liable to the Controller for the fulfilment of its obligations under this Agreement

6. TERM AND TERMINATION

- 6.1 This Agreement shall continue in full force and effect for so long as the Processor is processing personal data on behalf of the Controller.

- 6.2 Within [] days following termination of this Agreement the Processor shall, at the direction of the Controller, (a) comply with any other agreement made between the parties concerning the return or destruction of data, or (b) return all personal data passed to the Processor by the Controller for processing, or (c) on receipt of instructions from the Controller, destroy all such data unless prohibited from doing so by any applicable law.

7. GOVERNING LAW

- 7.1 This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the national law of the Member state in which the Controller is established

AS WITNESS this Agreement has been signed on behalf of each of the parties by its duly authorised representative on the day and year first above written.

SIGNED on behalf of [CONTROLLER]

(Authorised signatory)

(Print name and title)

SIGNED on behalf of [PROCESSOR]

(Authorised signatory)

(Print name and title)

APPENDIX 1¹

1. Legal requirements

- 1.1 The Processor shall, in respect of the processing of personal data on behalf of the Controller, identify and comply with any specific security provisions imposed by its national law.

2. Practical security measures

- 2.1 In compliance with its obligations under clause 3.2 with regard to the processing of personal data on behalf of the Controller, the Processor, as a minimum requirement, shall give due consideration to the following types of security measures:

- 2.1.1 Information Security Management Systems;
- 2.1.2 Physical Security;
- 2.1.3 Access Control;
- 2.1.4 Security and Privacy Enhancing Technologies;
- 2.1.5 Awareness, training and security checks in relation to personnel;
- 2.1.6 Incident/Response Management/Business Continuity; and
- 2.1.7 Audit Controls/Due Diligence;

¹ The Practical Security Measures outlined in Schedule 1 are taken from the OECD Working Party on Information Security and Privacy's draft paper of 30-31 March 2004 entitled "Information Security Issues and Resources for Small and Entrepreneurial Companies – A business companion to the 2002 OECD Guidelines for the Security of Networks and Information systems: Towards a Culture of Security"

Annex A (normative): **Article 17 Security Contract - Implementation Guide**

AA.1 Scope

The Article 17 Security Contract has been prepared to assist businesses wishing to use the services of another company to process personal data on their behalf. The Article 17 Security Contract is appropriate for use where the company which is to provide the data processing service is located either in the same Member State as the business wishing to use its services or, is located another Member State of the European Union.

Article 17 of the Directive sets out the security requirements in relation to the processing of personal data where a party that controls the content and use of personal data (the Data Controller) wishes to use the services of a third party (the Data Processor) for the processing of such data. Article 17 addresses processing arrangements where both the Data Controller and the Data Processor are established within one of the member states of the European Economic Area.

Where the Data Processor is located outside the European Economic Area consideration will need to be given to the provisions of Articles 25 and 26 of the Directive (Transfer of Personal Data to Third Countries) and the possible use of the Standard Contractual Clauses for the transfer of personal data to third countries under the Directive approved by the European Commission.

The Article 17 Security Contract has been prepared with the needs of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises in mind, however, it may in addition provide a useful starting point for larger organisations wishing to ensure that they are satisfying their obligations as to security of processing where they sub-contract data processing to another company.

The Article 17 Security Contract has been drafted to satisfy the requirements of Article 17 and is unlikely to require amendment in the absence of any changes to that Article. The contractual provisions set out in the Security Contract ensure the basic minimum level of protection for personal data and do not preclude the inclusion of more detailed provisions in the light of the legal and factual circumstances of each particular case.

However, the practical security measures suggested in this Implementation Guide are likely to require amendment in the light of physical and technological security developments and the adoption of enhanced management functions in relation to information security. This Implementation Guide, therefore, sets out the current practical security measures identified at the time of drafting, taking into account the most common technical security measures currently available, but may subsequently require updating to incorporate future developments.

The Article 17 Security Contract is designed to accompany a service agreement detailing the non-security related processing arrangements between the Data Controller and the Data Processor. The Contract may be used in its entirety or the operative clauses may be extracted and incorporated into the processing service agreement.

Before entering in an Article 17 Security Contract, or the data processing services agreement into which the operative of the Security Contract have been incorporated, the parties should obtain the assistance of professional legal advisers (in-house or external lawyers) for advice on the requirements of the national law (including any sector specific regulatory arrangements) to which the contract and the associated processing will be subject.

This guide provides assistance with regard to the use of the Article 17 Security Contract between Data Controller and Data Processor.

AA.2 Background

The Initiative for Privacy Standardisation in Europe (IPSE) was launched to analyse the current status of privacy protection arrangements and to determine whether standardisation of actions could assist business in implementing the European Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC (the Directive). The report, approved by the IPSE steering group, concluded that specific standardisation initiatives would aid implementation of the Directive. Seven standardisation initiatives were proposed, one of which was the development of a generic set of contract clauses and terms for use within the EEA to assist business in complying with Article 17 of the Directive.

The work on the standardisation initiatives identified by IPSE was taken on by the CEN/ISSS Work Shop on Data Protection and Privacy (CEN/ISSS WS-DPP) which has produced the Article 17 Security Contract between Data Controller and Data Processor.

AA.3 Applicable Laws

Clause 6 of the Security Contract provides that the Agreement is to be governed by the national law of the Member State in which the Data Controller is located.

Where the Data Processor is located in a different Member State from the Data Controller the Controller should note that the security of the processing may be governed by the laws of a different Member State. This situation arises as (in accordance with the provisions of Article 17) the security of the processing must be conducted in accordance with the national law of the Member State in which the Data Processor is located.

Where the Data Processor is located in a Member State other than that of the Data Controller, prior to entering into contractual relations with the Data Processor, the Data Controller may need to obtain legal advice as to the specific foreign law data protection obligations imposed on the Data Processor under Clause 3 of the Model Contract. Where the Data Controller fails to obtain foreign legal advice the Data Controller may struggle to assess the Data Processor's compliance with its Clause 3 obligations.

While the obligations of the Data Processor, in each Member State, derive from Article 17 of the Directive, Member States have each implemented these obligations slightly differently. For example, in many Member States (such as Austria, Belgium, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg and Spain) the security provisions under the national law are more detailed than in the Data Protection Directive. The Data Processor will, therefore, need ensure that it complies with the provisions under the applicable national law.

In certain Member States there are regulations detailing mandatory security measures which identify three differing levels of security determined by the nature of the data being processed.

High level security measures required in Spain include, amongst other requirements, strict obligations regarding the encryption of personal data in specified circumstances as well as the maintenance of an exhaustive access registry. The Spanish access register requires a Controller to specify the data accessed by any user and the date and time of such access so as to enable the reconstruction of an audit trail in relation to access to sensitive personal data.

In Belgium, national data protection legislation stipulates a number of issues that must be covered in any sub-contracting agreement between Data Controller and Data Processor. Such issues include a requirement that the agreement shall explicitly include details of the processor's liability under the agreement. The Belgium law also provides that a Royal Decree may be enacted to establish standards for information security for specified categories of data processing.

In Greece the national data protection law requires the Data Controller to check the professional credentials, qualifications and personal ethics with regard to confidentiality of persons entrusted with data processing duties or functions.

In addition to these specific national legal requirements some national data protection authorities (for example Greece and Denmark) have established rules, instructions and guidelines translating into more practical terms some of the requirements of Article 17.

AA.4 Clause by clause explanatory notes/analysis of the Article 17 Security Contract

As with any arrangement having binding legal effect, users of the Article 17 Security Contract are advised to seek professional legal advice with regard to their rights and obligations under the Security Contract and its inter-relationship with any associated data processing service agreement.

Professional legal input is particularly important where the Data Controller is uncertain of the obligations imposed on the Data Processor under the Processor's national law.

The following notes are intended as basic guidance on the nature and purpose of the individual clauses of the Security Contract and are intended to inform business managers understanding of the Security Contract prior to obtaining detailed legal advice.

Contract Clauses

Date of Agreement

The date of the agreement will be the date on which the last party executes the document. This date should not be inserted until the last party has signed and dated the Contract.

Identification of Parties

The full name of the legal entities entering into the agreement should be inserted together with any national company registration number, details of the country in which each legal entity is established and details of the registered office of each entity. It is important to note that address must be the registered office address of each business. Trading addresses, or local office addresses should not be used.

Background

The six paragraphs listed under this heading set out the reasons why the agreement is required. They identify the activities of the parties, the processing of personal data, the parties who wish to enter into contractual relations with one another, and the requirements of Article 17 of the Directive.

Mutual Agreement

The Contract then states that the parties agree to comply the provisions of the Contract.

Clause 1 – Definitions and Interpretation

This clause explains the meaning of those terms used in the agreement which have meaning over and above, or different from, the meaning which may normally be understood by the use of the term. For example, the words “personal data” are to have the specific meaning ascribed to them by the Directive.

Clause 2 – Consideration

Broadly speaking this clause is required to set out the reasons why each party is prepared to enter into the agreement. The Contract arrangement needs to be of benefit to (or, in legal terms, provide valid consideration) each party.

Clause 3 – Security Obligations of the Processor

As the title of this clause would suggest, it sets out the security obligations of the Data Processor with regard to the processing of personal data on behalf of the Data Controller.

Important points to note are:

- *the Processor may only process personal data in accordance with instructions from the Data Controller. It may not process the data for its own purposes;*

- *the Processor is required to take “such Technical and Organisation Security Measures as are required under **its own National Law** to protect personal data processed on behalf of the Data Controller against unlawful forms of processing”. As mentioned above, legal advice should be obtained as to the detailed requirements of the relevant national law; and*
- *Appendix 1 of the Contract sets out the minimum requirements for compliance with these obligations. Appendix 1 is discussed further below.*

Clause 4 – Confidentiality

This clause ensures that the Processor must treat all personal data processed on behalf of the Data Controller as confidential and provides that the obligation of confidentiality is continue for 5 years after the date on which the Processor ceases processing personal data for the Controller. This time limit is without prejudice to any longer time-limits that may be provided by national law or sector specific regulation. The parties may wish to amend this provision to reflect such additional obligations.

Clause 5 – Sub-contracting

This clause prevents the Processor from instructing a third party to carry out the processing it has agreed to carry out for the Controller unless the Controller gives its prior written consent.

Where the Controller consents to the sub-contracting, the sub-contractor must be contractually bound to observe the same security requirements as are imposed on the Processor under the Security Contract.

This clause ensures that the security arrangements are not watered-down by any transfer of obligations. The clause also provides that the Processor remains liable to the Controller for any breach of the Security Contract whether caused by any fault of its own or by the fault of its sub-contractor.

Clause 6 – Term and termination

This clause provides that the Security Contract will continue for as long as the Processor continues to process personal data on behalf of the Controller. The Security Contract cannot terminate before the data processing service agreement as any subsequent processing would not comply with Article 17.

Where the Security Contract and the data processing agreement are terminated, clause 5.2 provides that the Processor shall return or destroy all personal data received from the Controller as instructed by the Controller. It is for the parties to agree the appropriate number of days to insert in the clause.

This arrangement is fallback position to specify arrangements for the handling of the personal data on termination where there are no other arrangements in place. It is highly likely that the termination arrangements will be addressed in the data processing service agreement but clause 5.2 is available as backup if such arrangements have been overlooked in the drafting of the service agreement.

Clause 7 – Governing law

The Security Contract provides that the contract is to be governed by the National Law of the Data Controller.

Care must be taken when considering the choice of governing law in circumstances where the data processing service agreement specifies a law other than that of the Member State of the Controller as its governing law. In such circumstances professional legal advice may be required.

The Security Contract does not address dispute resolution. It is advisable, before the Contract is signed, for the parties to agree an appropriate forum to hear any disputes that may arise between them under the Contract. Many parties may favour mediation with recourse to specified national courts if matters cannot be resolved. Others may wish to specify arbitration as the preferred dispute resolution process. Where mediation or arbitration are to be used it is advisable to identify the chosen mediator (or mediation body) or arbitration procedure in writing so as to avoid a dispute about the Contract becoming a dispute about the resolution procedure.

As the Security Contract is to be used in association with a data processing service agreement it may be appropriate to deal with dispute resolution arrangements in relation to security obligations under the service agreement or to mirror the dispute resolution arrangements under the service agreement in the Security Contract.

Where mediation or arbitration is not specified, as a minimum, the parties should agree to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of specified national courts to avoid any further discussion as to where disputes should be heard. It is usual for parties to agree to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts appropriate to the governing law of the contract.

Signature

Both parties should ensure that the Contract is executed on their behalf by a 'duly authorised representative'. That is to say the parties should ensure that the signatories have the power to bind the organisation they represent. In many jurisdictions, in the absence of any other arrangements being made, the only individuals authorised to bind a company will be the directors and company secretary. These individuals may of course give written authority to other employees to bind the company for specified purposes. The identity and the authority of the proposed signatory should always be confirmed before attempting to enter into contractual relations.

Appendix 1

This appendix sets out the minimum technical and organisational measures to be observed by the Processor in accordance with clause 3. The appendix is divided into Legal Requirements and Practical Security Measures.

The Legal Requirements

These relate to the need for the Data Processor to identify and observe any specific security measures in relation to personal data required under its national law. The requirement is not a one-off requirement to be observed at the start of the processing service, but is an on-going obligation to ensure that the security arrangements are in compliance with national law as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time throughout the duration of the processing service.

Practical Security Measures

While the Contract imposes the obligation on the Processor to take “such Technical and Organisation Security Measures as are required under its own National Law to protect personal data processed on behalf of the Data Controller against unlawful forms of processing” many businesses may find it difficult to ascertain what this obligation means in practice.

The obligation is a broad one and businesses will need to break this down into the classes of security measures identified in the appendix. These classes will require further practical consideration. Many international IT groups and standards bodies have looked at the area of information security and guidance of general application is available from many of the bodies referred to below (see Sources).

An example of appropriate basic information security measures are set out in Annex 1 of this Implementation Guide.

AA.5 Extra Clauses

The Security Contract (whether used as an separate agreement or with extracted clauses used to supplement a data processing agreement) is intended to satisfy the requirements of Article 17.

From a business perspective, however, where such matters have not been otherwise covered in the data processing agreement or related contract, parties may wish to include additional clauses regarding, for example:

- Arbitration or mediation arrangements (as discussed above);
- Selection of jurisdiction (as discussed above);
- Limitations of liability.

Where more detailed arrangements have not been dealt with in other agreements, parties may wish to include more detailed provisions in relation to some of the matters addressed in the Security Contract, for example:

- Arrangements for the treatment of personal data on termination of the processing arrangements.

AA.6 Sources

The following bodies provide helpful guidance and information on information security, privacy enhancing technologies and data protection and privacy considerations which may serve as useful additional reading material for organisations seeking to use the Article 17 Security Contract for the first time:

CWA 15292:2005 (E)

- OECD/EU
- National Bodies
- BCS, ITIL
- ICC (International Chamber of Commerce)
- ISO 17799
- Common Criteria
- PETTEP

IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE

ANNEX 1 - BASIC INFORMATION SECURITY MEASURES

Basic information security measures (here extracted from work of the OECD) will include consideration of the following:

2.1 Information Security Management System/Privacy and Data Protection Management System

- Policy
- Governance
- Process/procedures
- Roles/responsibilities
- Assurance process
- Risk Assessment
- Improvement plan.

2.2 Physical Security

- Fit appropriate locks or other physical controls to the doors and windows of rooms where computers are kept.
- Physically secure unattended lap tops (for example, by locking them in a secure drawer or cupboard).
- Ensure you control and secure all removable media, such as removable hard-drives, CDs, floppy disks and USB drives, attached to business-critical assets.
- Destroy or remove all business-critical information from media such as CDs, and floppy disks before disposing of them.
- Ensure that all business-critical information is removed from the hard drives of any used computers before disposing of them.
- Store back-ups of business-critical information either off-site or in a fire and water-proof container.

2.3 Access Controls

- Use unique passwords, that are not obvious (*Note: not birth dates or easily found or guessed information*) and change them regularly (*Note: preferably at least every three months*).
- Use passwords that contain letters in both upper and lower cases, numbers and special keys, and are six or more characters in length. (*Note: Passwords remembered as a memorable sentence, rather than a single word, are helpful. For example, the sentence: "at forty-two I'm a star!" can translate into this eight-character password : @42Ima*!*)
- Ensure that employees don't write down or share passwords. (*Note: If an employee finds that they need, on occasion, to share a password they must be required to change it as soon as possible – no matter how well they trust the person they shared it with!*)

2.4 Security and Privacy Technologies

- Ensure that all computers used have anti-virus software installed, and the virus definitions must be updated at least once a week (*Note: many providers have a one-click update*). All incoming and outgoing traffic must be scanned for viruses, as should any disk or CD that is used, even if it is from a 'trusted' source. At least once a month, computers must be scanned for viruses.

- Where computers are connected to the Internet (especially if you use a broadband connection) deploy a software firewall. *(Note: This helps to prevent malicious code from entering computers and potentially compromising the confidentiality, integrity and availability of a network. It also helps to stop a system being used to attack other systems without the system owner's knowledge. Software firewalls for use by non-professionals are readily available at a reasonable cost. Operating system virus control software or ISPs may also offer firewalls. Consumer and popular trade magazines compare firewall functions and features of well known products, and are a good source of information. Free shareware firewalls are available, but these usually require expert knowledge for correct use).*
- Where a business has a small network that is connected to the Internet, it should consider deploying an 'all-in-one' hardware box that contains a firewall, anti-virus program and an intrusion detection system. *(Note: This will greatly simplify the use and maintenance of essential Internet security technology).*

2.5 Awareness, training and security checks in relation to personnel

- Perform integrity checks on all new employees to ensure that they have not lied about their background, experience or qualifications.
- Give all new employees a simple introduction to information security, and ensure that they read and understand your information security policy. Ensure employees know where to find details of the information security standards and procedures relevant to their role and responsibilities.
- Ensure that employees have access only to the information assets they need to do their jobs. If employees change jobs, you must ensure that they do not retain access to the assets they needed for their old job. When dismissing employees, ensure that they do not take with them any business-critical information.
- Ensure that no ex-employees have access rights to your systems.
- Ensure employees know about the common methods that can be used to compromise your system. *(Note: These include e-mail messages that contain viruses and 'social engineering' ploys used by hackers to exploit employees' helpfulness to gain information that will give them access to a system. Examples of 'social engineering' include a hacker using the telephone to pose as a systems maintenance engineer or pretending to be a new employee).*

2.6 Incident/Response Management/Business Continuity

- Ensure that employees understand what is meant by a Security Incident. A security incident is any event that can damage or compromise the confidentiality, integrity or availability of your business-critical information or systems.
- Ensure that employees are trained to recognise the signs of Security Incidents. *(Note: These could include:*
 - ✓ *strange phone requests, especially for information*
 - ✓ *unusual visitors*
 - ✓ *strange patterns of computer activity*
 - ✓ *unusual appearance of computer screens*
 - ✓ *computers taking longer than usual to perform routine tasks)*
- Ensure that employees receive training on the need to notify anything which may be a sign of a Security Incident and are kept informed as to the identity of the person to whom such notifications should be made.
- Ensure that if a Security Incident occurs, employees know who to contact and how.
- Have in place a plan to assure business continuity in the event of a serious Security Incident (a "Business Recovery Plan"). The plan should specify:
 - ✓ Designated people involved in the response;
 - ✓ External contacts, including law enforcement, fire and possibly technical experts;
 - ✓ Contingency plans for foreseeable incidents such as:
 - Power loss;
 - Natural disasters and serious accidents;
 - Data compromise;
 - No access to premises;
 - Loss of essential employees;

- Equipment failure;
- Ensure that your Business Recovery Plan is issued to all employees and is tested at least once a year, regardless of whether there has been a Security Incident.
- After every incident when the plan is used, and after every test, re-examine and update the Business Recovery Plan as necessary using the lessons learned.

2.7 Audit Controls/Due Diligence

Ensure that you have in place appropriate security audit arrangements including:

- Auditing of who has access to its system (in general and in relation to particular types of information) *(Note: The ability to audit and evaluate information security compliance is essential – you can't manage what you don't measure!);*
- Logging of such access to the system; and
- Auditing of compliance with security procedures. *(Note: A record should be maintained for each security procedure. For example, if a procedure requires that you test your system's back-up generator once a week, an employee should be identified to sign a record to show that this has been done. Keeping good records is essential to audit control.)*

Some audit controls may be necessary for legal or regulatory purposes. Good record keeping will clearly demonstrate compliance with obligations.

An audit should ensure that the procedures in place are effective and relevant. A security audit is a trigger to re-assess and re-evaluate the effectiveness of information security standards and procedures.

Audits are only effective if action is taken to address their findings and identify and implement the steps that need to be taken. A good audit trail is not just a paper exercise. If something goes wrong, the trail should identify what happened and why. This will help to keep improving the security of the business systems.